```
Approved For Release 2008/08/13: CIA-RDP84B00049R000200420011-7
                                                                                    <sup>2</sup>25X1
24
   OO RUEAIIB
  ZNY SSSSS ZOC STATE ZZH
   WTS3372
   OO RUEHC
  DE RUEHMD #3061/01 0821805
   ZNY SSSSS ZZH
   O 231757Z MAR 82
   FM AMEMBASSY MADRID
   TO SECSTATE MASHDC IMMEDIATE 9964
   S E C R E T MADRID 03061 COMBINED SECTION
  EXDIS
   NOFORN
   DEPARTMENT PASS FOR UNDER SECRETARY BUCKLEY AND DAS NILES!
   DEPARTMENT PASS USDOC FOR UNDER SECRETARY OLMER AND
   ASST SEC BRADY; DEPARTMENT PASS DEFENSE FOR UNDER
 · SECRETARY IKLE; DEPARTMENT PASS TREASURY FOR ASST SEC
  LELAND; DEPARTMENT PASS NSC FOR NORMAN BAILEY
   FROM B DENYSYK, DAS/COMMERCE
   E.O. 12065:RDS-1 3/22/02 (BARBOUR, ROBERT E.)
   TAGS: EENT, UK
   SUBJECT: LUNCHEON DISCUSSIONS WITH UK DELEGATION
   ON RESTRICTING CREDITS TO THE USSR
       SECRET ENTIRE TEXT.
                                         SECRET
      State Dept. review completed
```

Approved For Release 2008/08/13 : CIA-RDP84B00049R000200420011-7

STATE

82 8259790

PAGE 002

NC 8259790

TOR: 2404257 MAR 82

DURING A LUMCHEON HOSTED BY LOSD BRIDGES FOR PART OF THE U.S. DELEGATION (U/S BUCKLEY, U/S IKLE, U/S CLMER, A/S LELAND, R. BAILEY, DAS DENYSYK) THERE WAS A FRANK DISCUSSION ON THREE GENERAL ISSUES: 1) EVALUATION OF GERMAN AND FRENCH INTENTIONS ON RESTRICTING CREDITS, 2) RESTRICTION OF CREDITS TO THE USSR AND 3) DIFFERENTIATION RETWEEN THE USSR AND OTHER EUROPEAN COUNTRIES. LORD BRIDGES, AND SOME OF HIS COLLEAGUES PROVIDED AN INVALUABLE POLITICAL EVALUATION THEY COMMENTED THAT THE FRENCH OF FRANCE AND GERMANY. GOVERNMENT IS HARD TO PREDICT BUT MAY GO ALONG WITH SOME RESTRICTIONS BUT THAT THE GERMANS WOULD PROBABLY RESIST. THEY ALSO POINTED OUT THAT RESTRICTING CREDITS TO THE SOVIETS SHOULD BE WEIGHED AGAINST SPILLOVER EFFECTS ON EASTERN EUROPE THAT COULD FORCE THEM CLOSER TO THE SOVIETS, CREDIT RESTRICTION COULD ALSO AFFECT COUNTRIES LIKE MEXICO. BRIDGES POINTED OUT THAT CREDIT RESTRICTIONS WOULD NOT DETER SOVIETS FROM MILITARY BUILDUP BUT U/S IKLE SAID THAT THE ALLIANCE MUST NOT ASSIST THE SOVIETS IN THAT BUILDUP AND COULD NOT SURVIVE AMOTHER TEN TO TWENTY YEARS F THE PACE CONTINUES.__THE BRITISH FELT THAT CURRENT MARKET AND POLITICAL FORCES ARE ALREADY DRYING UP CREDITS TO EAST FURDPE BUT THEY WILL EXAMINE TAKING SOME ACTION IN TOMJUNCTION WITH THE U.S. END SUMARY.

LORD BRIDGES, DEPUTY UNDERSECRFTARY, FCO HOSTED A LUNCH ON MARCH 17, 1982 AT THE CARLTON GARDENS FOR PART OF THE U.S. DELEGATION. US ATTENDEES MERE U/S BUCKLEY, U/S IKLE, U/S OLMER, AZS LELAND, OR. NORMAN BAILEY (NSC), AND DAS DENYSYK. BRITISH ATTENDEES WERE JOE CAREY (U/S H.M. TREASURY). _,, TER CORLEY (U/S, DEPARTMENT OF TRADE), DONALD TFORD (U/S, EXPORT CREDITS AND GUARANTEE DEPARTMENT). MIGEL BROOMFIELD, (HEAD OF DEPARTMENT - FAST EUROPE AND USSR), . F. T. SMITH (BANK OF ENGLAND), AND LORD BRIDGES. THE LUNCHEON STARTED WITH CONVERSATION BETWEEN ADJACENT LUNCHEON PARTNERS BUT AFTER A SHORT WHILE LORD BRIDGES STOPPED THE SOCIAL CONVERSATIONS AND, IN ESSENCE, FROM THAT POINT ON. CONVENED A MEETING OVER LUNCH. THE DISCUSSION WAS STRUCTURED.

STATE

82 8259790 \$80

24

28

30

40

PAGE 003 TOR: 2404257 MAR 82 NC 8259790

THE LUNCHEDS PROVIDED AN EXCELLENT OPPORTUNITY FOR CANDID AND FRANK DISCUSSION OR SEVERAL ISSUES. ALTHOUGH THE CONVERSATION DRIFTED EROM AREA TO AREA, THREE GENERAL ISSUES WERE ADDRESSED. THEY WERE: 1) EVALUATION OF FRENCH AND GERMAN INTENTIONS ON RESTRICTING CREDITS, 2) A GENERAL DISCUSSION ON RESTRICTING CREDITS TO THE USSR, AND 3) CONDUCTING A POLICY OF DIFFERENTIATION BETWEEN THE USSR AND OTHER COUNTRIES IN EASTERN EUROPE. DUE TO THE STRUCTURED NATURE OF THE UNCHEON CORVERSATION AND THE COMMENTS IT WAS FELT THAT THE BRITISH WANTED TO GET A SENSE OF WHAT THE AMERICANS WERE "REALLY" AFTER AND TO OFFER SOME ADVICE. BRIDGES STARTED THE DISCUSSION BY ASKING CORLEY TO EVALUATE FRENCH INTENTIONS ON RESTRICTING CREDITS TO THE USSR. CORLEY SAID THAT IT WAS DIFFICULT TO PREDICT THE MITTERAND GOVERNMENT, BUT IT WAS CLEARLY DIFFERENT FROM THE PREVIOUS ONE IN ITS ATTITUDE ONE INDICATION TOWARD EAST-WEST RELATIONS AND TRADE. IS FRANCE'S CONCURRENCE TO EC IMPORT RESTRICTIONS OF SOME USSR PRODUCTS IN RESPONSE IN THE POLISH SITUATION. CORLEY SAID THAT EVEN THIS MODEST RESPONSE WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN POSSIBLE UNDER THE PREVIOUS ADMINISTRATION. BRIDGES COULD NOT ISOLATE THE REASONS FOR THIS NEW ATTITUDE SPECIFICALLY, BUT THOUGHT IT WAS PART OF THE ATTEMPT TO SHOW THAT FRANCE HAD A RENEWED INTEREST IN THE ALLIANCE, AND DISARY THE GAULLISTS! CRITICISM THAT THE COMMUNIST MINISTERS WILL AFFECT MITTERAND! STANCE VIS-A-VIS MOSCOW. BRIDGES POINTED OUT THAT MITTERAND WAS SUCCESSFULLY DISRUBING THE FRENCH COMMUNISTS AND UNDERMINING THEIR ROLITICAL BASE. THE RECENT (ANTONAL ELECTIONS PROVED THAT MITTERAND'S STRATEGY \$55

EXDIS

SUCCESSFUL. BROOMFIELD AND CORLEY MENTIONED THAT THE FRENCH WILL PROBABLY BE FORTHCOMING ON THE CREDIT ISSUE BUT DID NOT VENTURE A GUESS AS TO "HOW FAR."

THEIR ECONOMIC SITUATION "PREVENTED! THEM FROM GOING VERY FAR. LELAND ASKED IF THE BRITISH HAD A COPY VERY FAR. LELAND ASKED IF THE BRITISH HAD A COPY OF THE FRENCH/SOVIET AND THE FRENCH/GDR TRADE PROTOCOLS.

TWYFORD SAID THAT THEY DID AND MOULD TRY TO PROVIDE A

STATE

82 8259790 330 PAGE 004

TUR: 240425Z MAR 82

COPY. CORLEY ADDED THAT A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO THE EAST GERMANS ASKED THE BRITISH TO SIGN A TRADE PROTOCOL THAT GUARANTEED CREDITS FOR PROJECTS COVERED BY THE AGREEMENT. THE BRITISH REFUSED. DURING THE WEEK OF MARCH 15, 1982, THE EAST GERMANS AGAIN BROUGHT UP THE ISSUE OF A TRADE PROTOCOL (DISCUSSIONS HELD IN LEIPZTS) BUT INSISSED THAT THEY DID NOT NEED A CREDIT GUARANTEE. THH.GERMANS SAID THAT THEY COULD RAISE FUNDS EASILY. CORLEY COMMENTED THAT THIS PROVED THAT THE EAST GERMANS WERE WORRIED ABOUT THEIR CREDIT RATING.

IN CONCLUDING THE DISCUSSION ABOUT FRENCH ATTITUDES, BRIDGES REITERATED THAT THE FRENCH WOULD PROBABLY GO ALONG WITH SOME VERSION OF CREDIT RESTRICTIONS IF THE U.S. SHOWED IT WAS SERIOUS AND EMGAGED THE FRENCH TO HELP EVOLVE A WORKABLE SCHEME. 6. AS TO THE GERMANS, CORLEY COMPARED THEM TO

PROMETHEUS BOUND TO A STONE WITH BIRDS PECKING AT THEIR ENTRAILS AND CLAIMING THEY COULD DO NOTHING. BRIDGES ATTRIBUTED THIS ATTITUDE TO SEVERAL DEVELOPMENTS. THEY ARE:

- A) BRIDGES DID NOT THINK THAT THE SCHMIDT GOVERNMENT WOULD REMAIN IN POWER BEYOND THE END OF 1982. SCHMIDTS! RECENT ANNOUNCEMENT TO SEEK REELECTION IN 1984 CONFIRMS
- B) THE FRG HAS A SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP WITH THE GDR. ALTHOUGH THEY WERE ONE OF THE LAST TO EMBRACE DETENTE, THEY TOOK OVER THE LEADERSHIP FROM THE FRENCH PARTIALLY TO INCREASE TIES WITH THE GDR. INTITALLY. THE FRG ATTEMPTED TO NORMALIZE RELATIONS WITH THE GOR DIRECTLY, BUT COULDN'T. AFTER GOING THROUGH WOSCOW, TIES WITH EAST GERMANY INCREASED. GOOD RELATIONS ARE PULITICALLY IMPORTANT IN THE FRG SINCE SEVERAL WILLION GERMANS ON BOTH SIDES OF THE WALL ARE AFFECTED.
 - SEVERAL INDUSTRIES (STEEL) IN THE FRG ARE HIGHLY DEPENDENT ON THE GDR. CORLEY POINTED OUT, HOWEVER, THAT THE GOR IS HIGHLY DEPENDENT ON THE FRG NOW WITH THE LEVERAGE TIPPING IN FAVOR OF THE REST GERMANS.

STATE

82 8259790 \$\$0

BAGE 005

VC 8259790

TOR: 240425Z MAR 82. ...

D) EAST-WEST TRADE SEEMS TO EMJOY BROAD POLITICAL SUPPORT IN THE FRG ALTHOUGH BRIDGES THOUGHT THAT A COU OR COU/FOP COALITION WOULD BE MORE FORTHCOMING ON THE CREDIT ISSUE.

BROOMFIELD MENTIONED THAT THE WEST GERMANS PRACTICE A HIGHLY DIFFERENTIATED POLICY BETWEE THE USSR AND ITS SATELLITES. IT SEEMED THAT THE CLOSER A COUNTRY WAS TO THE ELBE RIVER, THE MORE PRONOUNCED THE DIFFERENTIAL APPROACH AND GENTLY WARNED US TO PROCEED CAUTIOUSLY ON CREDIT RESTRICTION LEST ME DRIVE THE EAST EUROPEANS INTO RUSSIAN ARMS.

IN SUMMARY, BRIDGES SAID THAT DUE TO INTERNAL POLITICAL UNCERTAINTY, THE ECONOMIC SITUATION, AND A LONG HISTORY OF GERMAN/RUSSIAN (STRETCHING WELL OVER 100 YEARS) IRADE TIES, IT WILL BE DIFFICULT TO GET THE GERMANS TO RESTRICT CREDITS. BUT HE SAID THAT IT COULD BE ACCOMPLISHED. THE BRITISH OFFERFO TO HELP.

AS A GENERAL PROPOSITION, BRIDGES AGREED THAT THE WEST WAS SLIPPING INTO A REVERSE LEVERAGE SITUATION VIS-A-VIS EASTERN EUROPE. THE EAST'S HUGE DEBT WAS INFLUENCING THE ACTIONS OF THE LENDING MATIOMS (FRG). CLEARLY, SOMETHING HAD TO BE DONE ABOUT THIS, BRIDGES THOUGHT THAT MORE STUDIES ARE MEEDED TO SHOW A CLEAR TIE BETWEED RESTRICTING CREDITS AND THE MILITARY BUILDUP BEFORE A LONG TERM POLICY IS FORMULATED. HE CAUTIONED US TO PURSUE A LONG TERM POLICY AND NOT A SHORT TERM ONE SINCE WEOULD FXPEND A LARGE AMOUNT OF POLITICAL CAPITAL FOR MAUGHT. CORLEY MENTIONED THAT THE STUDIES COULD SFT THE FRAMEWORK FOR A RESTRICTED CREDIT POLICY THAT COULD HELP IN PERSUADING THE GERMANS TO CONFORM. THE GERMANS "LIKED CONCRETE" LINKAGES. THERE WAS GENERAL AGREEMENT THAT POLAND AND ROMANIA WERE NOT THE ONLY COUNTRIES IN ECONOMIC QUAGMIRE. BULGARIA, HUNGARY, NAD CZECHOSLOVAKIA WERE NOT FAR BEHIND. BRIDGES MENTIONED THAT THEIR ANALYSIS SHOWED THAT SOME "HARD DECTSIONS" ON DEFAULT

IN POLAND MAY HAVE TO BE MADE WITHTH FIVE MEEKS. LELAND,

SECHET

STATE

82 8259790 SSC PAGE_006 NC 8259790 TCR:_240425Z MAR 82

EXDIS

12

NOTED, HOMEVER, THAT THE BIS BANKS COULD HANDLE EITHER MA DE FACTOR OR DE JURE POLISH DEFAULT OR EVEN A POLISH/ROMANIAN DEFAULT. BRIDGES NOTED THAT THE POLISH SITUATION HAD DISPROVED THE UMBRELLA THEORY WHICH HOLDS THAT THE USSR WOULD COVER THE DEBTS OF ITS SATELLITES, IF NEEDED. OURING DEFAULT PROCEEDINGS, CARE SHOULD BE TAKEN AS ISOLATE EASTERN EUROPE FROM THE USSR. POINTED OUT THAT YOU CAN'T SEPARATE THEM ECONOMICALLY BUT THAT POLITICAL DECISIONS COULD I IMIT THE DAMAGE (PROVIDING LOADS TO EASTERN EUROPE WHILE PESTRICTING CREDITS TO THE USSR). IN ADDITION, THE PROBLEMS FACING VARIOUS COUNTRIES IN EASTERN EUROPF_ARE DIFFERENT IN CHARACTER. THE HUMSARIAM AND SOVIET PROBLEMS STEM FROM SHORT TERM LIQUIDITY PROBLEMS. 60TH COUMTRIES IMPORTED MORE THAN ORIGINALLY PLACENED WITHOUT ENOUGH HARD CURRENCY EARNINGS TO COVER THEM. THE HUNGARIAN PROBLEM IS COMPOUNDED BY THE USSR | MITHDRAWING HARD CURRENCY ASSETS FROM HUNGARIAN BANKS CAUSING LIQUIDITY PROBLEMS. WITH A BETTER HARVEST MEXT YEAR AND ADDITIONAL GOLD SALES BY THE USSR, CORLEY FELT THAT BOTH COUNTRIES ECONOMIC PROBLEMS COULD BE SOLVED. U/S IKLE DISPUTED THIS BY NOTING THAT STRUCTUREAL PROBLEMS WITHIN THE USSR PRODUCTIVITY FLAT, GROWTH MEAR ZERG, DECLINING HARD CURRENCY EARNINGS, ETC.) HERALD LONG TERM ECONOMIC PROBLEMS. IN ADDITION TO STRUCTURAL PROBLEMS IN EASTERN EUROPE, THERE_FXISTS A MAJOR UNKNOWN: THE ARABS. VARIOUS DIL-RICH ARAB COUNTRIES HAVE DEPOSITED HARD CURRENCY IN EAST EUROPEAN BANKS. IF THEY WITHOREW ALL OR PART OF THOSE FUNDS IN THE NEAR FUTURE, SEVERE LIQUIDITY PROBLEMS WOULD RESULT WITH POSSIBILITY OF MASSIVE DEFAULTS. BAILEY SUGGESTED CONSULTATIONS WITH THE ARABS, BUT THE BRITISH SAID THAT THE CONSULTATIONS THEMSELVES COULD SPOOK THE ARABS AND TRIGGER WITHDRAWAL.

THE BRITISH INFORMED THE US DELEGATION THAT THEY ARE NOT EXTENDING ANY CREDIT NOW TO RUNAMIA AND POLAND. THIS SITUATION IS UNLIKELY TO CHANGE IN THE NEAR FUTURE. NO CREDIT NOW FLOWS TO THE USSR WITHOUT COVER (A

STATE

82 8259790 SSO PAGE 007 NC 8259790 TUR: 240425Z MAR 82

SIMILAR SITUATION EXISTS IN THE FRG); THE COVER PROVIDED IS ALL OFFICIAL. LLOYD'S AND OTHER PRIVATE INSURANCE COMPANIES ARE NO LONGER PROVIDING POLITICAL RISK INSURANCE. DURING THE CREDIT DISCUSSION, BRIDGES ASKED WHAT WERE

DURING THE CREDIT DISCUSSION, BRIDGES ASKED WHAT WERE U.S. LONG TERM GOALS FROM THIS EXERCISE TO RESTRICT CREDITS TO THE USSR. U/S BUCKLEY ANSWERED BY SAYING

THAT WE WERE NOT TRYING TO AFFECT SOVIET POLICY.

WE WERE SIMPLY TRYING TO FORCE TRADE WITH

HE SOVIETS TO EXIST ON PURE COMMERCIAL TERMS RATHER

THAN GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIZED OR GUARANTEED BASIS.

THIS GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION IN CREDITS IS HELPING THE BUILDUP OF THE SOVIET MILITARY MACHINE. THE SOVIETS

SHOULD BEAR THE COST OF THIS BUILDUP BY THEMSELVES AND NOT WITH NATO OR JAPANESE ASSISTANCE. U/S IKLE THEN ADDED THAT IF LEFT UNABATED, NATO WOULD NOT BE

●ABLE TO MATCH SOVIET BUILDUP FOR THE NEXT TEN TO TWENTY YEARS THEREBY THREATENING ITS VERY FXISTENCE. BRITISH REACTION TO THIS COMMENT WAS GENERALLY POSITIVE BUT

CERTAINLY LOW KEY. THE THRUST OF THE BRITISH COMMENTS WERE THAT RESTRICTING CREDITS WOULD NOT ALTER THE SOVIET BUILDUP EXCEPT PERHAPS ON THE MARGIN. U/S OLMER NOTED

THAT EVEN THIS WAS WORTH PURSUING ESPECIALLY WHEN THE U.S. AND OTHER COUNTRIES ARE TAXING THEIR CITIZENS TO INCREASE DEFENSE PREPAREDNESS. THE BRITISH STRESSED

THAT ADDITIONAL STUDIES OF THE SOVIET ECONOMIC VULNERABILITIES ARE NECESSARY AND PERHAPS THE WASHINGTON CONFERENCE ON CREDITS COULD BE USED

WASHINGTON CONFERENCE ON CREDITS COULD BE USED TO DISCUSS SOVIET ECONOMIC PROBLEMS.

8. TOWARDS THE END OF THE LUNCHEON DISCUSSION, THE BRITISH REINFORCED THEIR POSITION ON DIFFERENTIATION.
THEY STRESSED THAT THE WEST SHOULD CREATE CONDITIONS THAT ALLOW THE SOVIET BLOC COUNTRIES TO FVOLVE POLITICALLY
AND LOOSEN THEIR TIES TO THE USSR. WHILE THIS GOAL WILL CERTAINLY BE DIFFICULT TO ATTAIN AND WILL TAKE A LONG TIME, IT IS WORTH PURSUING. PRESENTLY THE SOVIETS ARE FORCED TO MAINTAIN A HIGHER STANDARD OF LIVING AND ALLOW CERTAIN POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC LIBERTIES IN EASTERN EUROPE THAT THEY DO NOT ALLOW IN THE USSR.
AS AN EXAMPLE, BRIDGES CITED THE HUNGARIANS. THE

ECONOMIC STRUCTURE AND STANDARD OF LIVING STANDARDS

STATE

82 8259790

SS0

PAGE 008

NC 8259790

TOR: 2404252 MAR 82

IN HUNGARY APPROACH THOSE OF SOME WESTERN COUNTRIES.

IT HAS TAKEN TIME TO EVOLVE TO THIS POINT, AND THE WEST SHOULD TAKE POLITICAL DECISIONS TO SUPPORT THIS EVEN.

TO THE POINT OF PROVIDING CREDITS WEN EXPECTATIONS REPAYMENTS ARE LOW. THE U.S. DELEGATION IN PRINCIPLE, AGREED WITH THIS APPROACH. U/S IKLE POINTED OUT, HOWEVER, THAT THE SOVIETS ALLOW ONLY A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF DEVIATIONS FROM THEIR PICTURE OF COMMUNISM; 1953, 1956, 1968 AND 1981 PROVE THIS. BRIDGES NOTED HOWEVER, THAT THESE

EXDIS

- DEFENSES OF THE SOVIET EMPIRE HAVE NOT STOPPED POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC EVOLUTION AND THEY EXPECT ADDITIONAL CHANGES IN THE SOVIET BLOC. U/S OLMER NOTED THAT TO PURSUE A DIFFERENTIAL POLICY, IT IS DOUBLY IMPORTANT TO RESTRICT CREDITS TO THE USSR ITSELF. THIS AFPROACH WOULD PROVIDE THE POLITICAL CLIMATE TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO EASTERN EUROPE. OTHERWISE, POLITICAL FORCES WITHIN THE U.S. WOULD FORCE A TOTAL CUTOFF OF CREDITS TO THE SOVIET BLOC. THE BRITISH AGREED THAT A TOTAL CUTOFF WOULD BE COUNTER-PRODUCTIVE.
 - 9. THE LUNCHEON PROVIDED A USEFUL FORUM FOR A FRANK AND CANDID EXCHANGE OF VIEWS BETWEEN LONG TIME ALLIES. I SENSED THAT OUR MESSAGE WAS CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD BY THE BRITISH AND WILL CONVEY IT TO THE FRENCH AND GERMANS. TODMAN

END OF MESSAGE